Ħ

S/N: 08/872,659 Reply to Office Action of October 17, 2003 Atty Dkt No. LYON 0103 PUS

REMARKS

Claims 22, 24-28, 30-34, 38-42, 44, 46-48, 50, 51, 53, 55, 58-61, 63, 65-67, 69-72, 74-104 are pending. Claims 22, 24-28, 30-34, 38-42, 44, 46-48, 50, 51, 53, 55, 58-61, 63, 65-67, and 69-72 are allowed. Claims 74-81 and 112 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. Claim 104 is further rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. Claims 82-104 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reichle.

Claims 82 - 104 are cancelled.

Claim 74 is amended to limit \dot{R} , to C_{6-14} aryl. No new matter is added by this amendment.

A. Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 74-81 and 104 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112. Claim 104 is cancelled.

Claim 74 is amended to limit R' to C_{6-14} aryl. The Examiner's rejection of this claim and its dependent claims 75 - 81 has now been addressed and the claims are in condition for allowance.

B. Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 82-104 are rejected under as being unpatentable over Reichle et al.

Claims 82-104 are now cancelled rendering this rejection moot.

S/N: 08/872,659 Reply to Office Action of October 17, 2003 Atty Dkt No. LYON 0103 PUS

Conclusion

Applicants submit that the claims are now in condition for Allowance, and respectfully request a Notice to that effect. If the Examiner believes that further discussion will advance the prosecution of the Application, he is highly encouraged to telephone Applicants' attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,

SANDOR NAGY ET AL.

Ismes W. Prosci

Reg. No. 47,010

Attorney/Agent for Applicant

Date: 12-12-03

BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.

1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor

Southfield, MI 48075 Phone: 248-358-4400 Fax: 248-358-3351